THE COMPLEXITY OF FINDING UNIFORM EMULATIONS ON FIXED GRAPHS

H.L. Bodlaender

RUU-CS-85-14
May 1985

Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht

Vakgroep informatica

Budapestlaan 6 3584 CD Utrecht
Corr. adres: Postbus 80.012 3508 TA Utrecht
Telefoon 030-531454
The Netherlands

THE COMPLEXITY OF FINDING UNIFORM EMULATIONS ON FIXED GRAPHS

H.L. Bodlaender

Technical Report RUU-CS-85-14

May 1985

Department of Computer Science
University of Utrecht
P.O.Box 80.012, 3508 TA Utrecht
the Netherlands

THE COMPLEXITY OF FINDING UNIFORM EMULATIONS ON FIXED GRAPHS*

H.L. Bodlaender

Department of Computer Science, University of Utrecht
P.O.Box 80.012, 3508 TA Utrecht, the Netherlands

Abstract. Uniform emulations are a method to obtain efficient and structure preserving simulations of large networks on smaller networks. We show that for every fixed graph H, that is connected (or strongly connected in the case of directed graphs) but not complete, the problem to decide whether another (strongly) connected graph G can be uniformly emulated on H is NP-complete.

1. Introduction.

Parallel algorithms are normally designed for execution on a suitable network of N processors with N depending on the size of the problem to be solved. In practice N will be large and varying, whereas processor networks will be small and fixed. The resulting disparity between algorithm design and implementation must be resolved by simulating a network of some size N on a fixed and smaller size network of a similar or different kind, in a structure preserved manner. For this purpose a notion of simulation, termed emulation was first proposed by Fishburn and Finkel [6]. Independently Berman [1] proposed a similar notion. A detailed study was

* This work was supported by the Foundation for Computer Science (SION) of the Netherlands Organisation for the Advancement of Pure Research (ZWO).

presented in Bodlaender and van Leeuwen [2].

Definition. Let $G=(V_G,E_G)$, $H=(V_H,E_H)$ be networks of processors (graphs). We say that G can be emulated on H if there exists a function $f: V_G \rightarrow V_H$ such that for every edge $(g, g') \in E_G$: $f(g)=f(g')$ or $(f(g), f(g')) \in E_H$. The function f is called an emulation function or, in short, an emulation of G on H. We call G the guest graph and H the host graph.

Let f be an emulation of G on H. Any processor $h \in V_H$ must actively emulate the processors belonging to $f^{-1}(h)$ in G. When $g \in f^{-1}(h)$ communicates information to a neighbouring processor g', then h must communicate the corresponding information either "internally", when it emulates g' itself or to a neighbouring processor $h=f(g')$ otherwise. If all processors act synchronously in G then the emulation will be slowed by a factor proportional to $\max_{h \in V_H} |f^{-1}(h)|$.

Definition. Let G, H be as above. The emulation f is said to be (computationally) uniform iff for all $h, h' \in V_H$: $|f^{-1}(h)|=|f^{-1}(h')|$.

Every uniform emulation has associated with it a fixed constant c, called the computation factor, such that for all $h \in V_H$: $|f^{-1}(h)| = c$. It means that every processor of H emulates the same number of processors of G.

Graphs and directed graphs representing the interconnection structure of a processor network will be connected and strongly connected, respectively. We will therefore mainly consider uniform emulations of (strongly) connected graphs on (strongly) connected graphs. We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of NP-completeness (see Garey and Johnson [7].)

In [3,4] the following problem was considered:

[UNIFORM EMULATION]

Instance: Connected graphs $G=(V_G,E_G)$, $H=(V_H,E_H)$

Question: Is there a uniform emulation of G on H?

The problem was proved to be NP-complete, even if various additional constraints are imposed on G, H and the computation factor $|V_G|/|V_H|$. In [5] the existence of polynomial time appoximation algorithms, that approximate $\min \{\max_{h \in V_H} |f^{-1}(h)| \mid f \text{ emulates } G \text{ on } H\}$ was discussed.

In [3] it was proved that UNIFORM EMULATION stays NP-complete, if H is fixed to any graph, obtained by removing one edge from an undirected, complete graph with at least 3 nodes. (For instance H can be fixed to the connected graph with 3 nodes and 2 edges.) In this note we generalize this result to all (strongly) connected graphs, that are not complete.

2. Preliminary definitions and results.

Definition. Let $G=(V,E)$ be an undirected, bipartite graph. We say that G contains a balanced complete bipartite subgraph (abbreviated as BCBS) of 2 * K nodes, if there are two disjoint subsets V_1, $V_2 \subseteq V$, such that $|V_1| = |V_2| = K$ and $u \in V_1$, $v \in V_2$ implies that $\{u,v\} \in E$.

The problem to decide, given a bipartite graph G, and a $K \in N^+$, whether G contains a BCBS with 2 * K nodes is NP-complete [7]. In [3] the following variant of BALANCED COMPLETE BIPARTITE SUBGRAPH was proved to be NP-complete:

Lemma 2.1. [3] Let $n \in N^+$, $n \geq 3$. The following problem is NP-complete:

Instance: Bipartite graph $G=(V,E)$, with $n \mid |V|$

Question: Does G contain a BCBS with $2 * |V|/n$ nodes?

Proof: The proof uses a (simple) transformation from BALANCED COMPLETE BIPARTITE SUBGRAPH. □

Definition. Let $P_3 = (\{1,2,3\}, \{(1,2),(2,3)\})$ be the undirected graph with 3 nodes and 2 edges (= a path with 3 nodes. See fig. 2.1.)

Lemma 2.2. Let V_1, V_2 be disjoint finite sets, and $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_G)$ be an undirected bipartite graph, with edges between nodes of V_1 and V_2 only, i.e., $(v,w) \in E_G \Rightarrow (v \in V_1 \Leftrightarrow w \in V_2)$. Let $\tilde{G} = (V_1 \cup V_2, \tilde{E}_G)$ be the undirected, bipartite graph with $\tilde{E}_G = \{(v,w) \mid v \in V_1 \wedge w \in V_2 \wedge (v,w) \notin E_G\}$. Then there is a uniform emulation of $\tilde{G}$ on P_3 with $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1,2\}$ and $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2,3\}$ if and only if G contains a BCBS with $2 * |V|/c$ nodes.

Proof: First suppose f is a uniform emulation of $\tilde{G}$ on H. Choose $W_1 = f^{-1}(1)$ and $W_2 = f^{-1}(3)$. Now $W_1 \subseteq V_1$, $W_2 \subseteq V_2$ and $v \in W_1$, $w \in W_2 \Rightarrow (v,w) \notin \tilde{E}_G$, hence $(v,w) \in E_G$. So G contains a BCBS with $2 * |V|/c$ nodes.

Now suppose G contains a BCBS with $2 * |V|/c$ nodes, i.e., there are sets $W_1 \subseteq V_1$, $W_2 \subseteq V_2$ with $|W_1| = |W_2| = |V|/c$ and $v \in W_1$, $w \in W_2 \Rightarrow (v,w) \in E_G \Rightarrow (v,w) \notin \tilde{E}_G$. Let $f(W_1) = 1$, $f(W_2) = 3$ and $f(V_1 \backslash W_1) = f(V_2 \backslash W_2) = 2$. It is easy to check that f is a uniform emulation of $\tilde{G}$ on P_3 and $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1,2\}$, $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2,3\}$. □



fig. 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. The following problem is NP-complete:

Instance: Disjoint finite sets V_1, V_2, undirected graph $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_G)$

Question: Is there a uniform emulation f of G on P_3 with $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1,2\}$, $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2,3\}$?

Proof: Use lemma 2.1. and 2.2. □

Lemma 2.4. Let H= (V_H,E_H) be a directed graph, with V={1,2,3} and (1,2) $\in E_H$, (2,3) $\in E_H$, (1,3) $\notin E_H$. (H is one of the 8 graphs, given in fig. 2.2.) The following problem is NP-complete:

Instance: Disjoint finite sets V_1, V_2, directed graph G= $(V_1 \cup V_2, E_G)$

Question: Is there a uniform emulation f of G on H, with $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1,2\}$, $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2,3\}$?

Proof: Similar to the undirected case. □

3.Main results.

Theorem 3.1. Let H= (V_H,E_H) be a connected undirected graph that is not complete. The following problem is NP-complete:

Instance: A connected undirected graph $G=(V_G,E_G)$

Question: Is there a uniform emulation of G on H?

Proof: Let $H=(V_H,E_H)$ be a connected undirected graph, that is not



fig. 2.2. 8 possible choices for H in lemma 2.3.

Let disjoint finite sets V_1, V_2 and an undirected graph $G = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_G)$ be given. We will construct a connected graph $G' = (V', E')$, such that there is a uniform emulation of G' on H iff there is a uniform emulation f of G on P_3 with $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1,2\}$, $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2,3\}$. Let $c = |V_G|/3$. (c is the computation factor of the emulation of G on P_3.)

From the fact that H is not complete, it follows that there exist nodes v_1, v_2, $v_3 \in V_H$ with $(v_1,v_2) \in E_H$, $(v_2,v_3) \in E_H$ and $(v_1,v_3) \notin E_H$. (The subgraph of H, induced by $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is isomorphic to P_3.) We let G' consist of the following parts:

- $3c + 1$ copies of H. From the first c copies of H, we omit the nodes v_1, v_2, v_3. We connect copies of the same and adjacent nodes.
- a copy of G.

Each copy of v_1 and v_2 is connected to each node in V_1; each copy of v_2 and v_3 is connected to each node in V_2.

<u>Definition</u>. Let $G' = (V', E')$ be the undirected graph, with $V' = V_G \cup \{v_{x,i} \mid x \in V_H,\ 1 \le i \le 3c+1,\ x \notin \{v_1,v_2,v_3\} \vee i \ge c+1\}$, and $E' = E_G \cup \{(v_{x,i}, v_{y,j}) \mid v_{x,i}, v_{y,j} \in V' \text{ and } (x,y) \in E_H\} \cup \{(v_{w,i}, y) \mid y \in V_1, w \in \{v_1, v_2\}\} \cup \{(v_{w,i}, y) \mid y \in V_2, w \in \{v_2, v_3\}\}$.

<u>Lemma 3.1.1.</u> There is a uniform emulation of G' on H if and only if there is a uniform emulation f of G on P_3 with $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$, $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2, 3\}$.

<u>Proof:</u> First suppose there is a uniform emulation g of G on P_3 with $f(V_1) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$, $f(V_2) \subseteq \{2, 3\}$. Define $f : V' \to V_H$ as follows: $f(v_{x,i}) = x$ and for $y \in V_G$ $f(y) = v_{g(y)}$. It is easy to check that f is a uniform emulation of G' on H.

Now suppose there is a uniform emulation f of G' on H. For every $x \in V_H$, let $N(x)$ be the set consisting of x and its neighbours, i.e., $N(x) = \{x\} \cup \{y \mid (x,y) \in E_H\}$. Number the nodes in H $w_1, \ldots, w_{|V_H|}$, in order of non-increasing degree, i.e., if degree $(w_i) >$ degree (w_j) then $i<j$.

Claim 3.1.1.1. $\forall i, 0 \le i \le |V_H|$, there exists a uniform emulation f^i of G' on H, such that $\forall j,k,l$ with $v_{w_j,k}, v_{w_j,l} \in V' \wedge 0 \le j \le i$ $f^i(v_{w_j,k}) = f^i(v_{w_j,l})$.

Proof: We use induction to i. For i=0 the claim follows immediately.

Now let f^i be given. Notice that for w_j, $1 \le j \le i$ and $v_{w_{i+1},l} \in V'$ $f^i(v_{w_j,1}) = f^i(v_{w_{i+1},l}) \Rightarrow w_j \in \{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$. Therefore if $w_{i+1} \notin \{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$, then there are at most 3c nodes $v \in \{v_{w_{i+1},l} \mid 1 \le l \le 3c+1\}$ with $\exists j$, $1 \le j \le i$, $f^i(v) = f^i(\{v_{w_j,k} | c+1 \le k \le 3c+1\})$. If $w \in \{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$, then there are at most 2c such nodes in $\{v_{w_{i+1},l} \mid c+1 \le l \le 3c+1\}$. Hence there exists $v_{w_{i+1},l} \in V_G$, such that there is no j, $1 \le j \le i$, with $f^i(\{v_{w_j,k} \mid c+1 \le k \le 3c+1\}) = f^i(v_{w_{i+1},l})$. Let such l be given and write $v = v_{w_{i+1},l}$. Look at the degree of f(v). Uniformity prevents that degree($f(v_{x,j})$) < degree(x), for all $v_{x,j} \in V' \backslash V_G$. Hence degree(f(v)) = degree(w_{i+1}). (We use that the degrees of $w_1,\ldots,w_{|V_H|}$ are non-increasing.)

Further notice that for every $y \in N(f^i(v))$, there is a $w \in V_G$ with $f^i(w) = y$ and $(v,w) \in E'$. Now let $f^i(v_{w_{i+1},m}) \ne f^i(v)$. Every neighbour of v is a neighbour of $v_{w_{i+1},m}$. Hence $N(f^i(v_{w_{i+1},m})) \supseteq N(f^i(v))$. Choose a node y with $f^i(y) = f^i(v)$ and y not of the form $v_{w_{i+1},m}$. ($1 \le m \le 3c+1$, if $w_{i+1} \in \{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$ then $c+1 \le m \le 3c+1$.) For all $z \in V_G$ $(y,z) \in E_G$ implies $f^i(z) \in N(f^i(v))$. We obtain a new uniform emulation $\tilde{f}^i$ by 'exchanging' the images of $v_{w_{i+1},m}$ and y: $z \notin \{y, v_{w_{i+1},m}\} \Rightarrow \tilde{f}^i(z) = f^i(z)$, $\tilde{f}^i(y) = f^i(v_{w_{i+1},m})$ and $\tilde{f}^i(v_{w_{i+1},m}) = f^i(y)$.

$\tilde{f}^i$ maps every neighbour of y upon a node in $N(f^i(v)) \subseteq N(\tilde{f}^i(y))$, and every neighbour of $v_{w_{i+1},m}$ is v or a neighbour of v, so is mapped upon a node in $N(f^i(v)) = N(\tilde{f}^i(v_{w_{i+1},m}))$. So $\tilde{f}^i$ is again a uniform emulation of G' on H, but now $\tilde{f}^i(v_{w_{i+1},m}) = \tilde{f}^i(v)$.

By repeated use of this 'image-exchanging' process one can obtain a uniform emulation f^{i+1} with $f^{i+1}(v_{w_{i+1},m})=f^{i+1}(v)$ for all $v_{w_{i+1},m} \in V'\setminus V_G$. With the induction hypothesis one proves that $\forall j,k,l$ with $v_{w_j,k}, v_{w_j,l} \in V'$, and $0 \leq j \leq i+1$ $f^{i+1}(v_{w_j,k})=f^{i+1}(v_{w_j,l})$. □

Now let $g = f_{|V_H|}$. g, restricted to the set of nodes $\{v_{3c+1} | x \in V_H\}$ can be seen as a graph isomorphism of H. Hence we have:

<u>Lemma 3.1.1.2.</u> There is a uniform emulation $\tilde{g}$ of G' on H with $f(v_{x,i})=x$ for all $v_{x,i} \in V'\setminus V_G$.

Notice that if $w \in V_G$ then $\tilde{g}(w) \in \{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$, and $\tilde{g}$ maps c nodes of V_G on each of the nodes v_1, v_2, v_3. Further $w \in V_1$ implies that $\tilde{g}(w)$ must be adjacent to $\tilde{g}(\{v_{v_1,k} \mid c+1 \leq k \leq 3c+1\})=v_1$ and to $\tilde{g}(\{v_{v_2,k} \mid c+1 \leq k \leq 3c+1\})=v_2$. Hence $\tilde{g}(w) \in \{v_1,v_2\}$. Likewise $w \in V_2$ implies $\tilde{g}(w) \in \{v_2,v_3\}$. So the mapping $h : V_G \rightarrow \{1,2,3\}$, given by $h(w)=i \Leftrightarrow g(w)=v_i$ is a uniform emulation of G on P_3 with $h(V_1) \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ and $h(V_2) \subseteq \{2, 3\}$. □

Finally notice that the construction of G' can be carried out in polynomial time in $|V_G|$. Hence the stated problem is NP-complete. □

With the following simple observation we have a complete classification of the complexity of finding uniform emulations on fixed, connected undirected graphs.

<u>Proposition 3.2.</u> Let $G=(V_G,E_G)$ be an undirected graph, and let K_n be the complete graph with n nodes. There is a uniform emulation of G on K_n iff $n \mid |V_G|$.

For the general case of graphs, that are not necessarily connected, we mention the following results:

Corollary 3.3. Let H be an undirected graph, such that at least one connected component of H is not complete. The following problem is NP-complete:

Instance: An undirected graph $G=(V_G,E_G)$
Question: Is there a uniform emulation of G on H?

Proof: Transformation from the problem of theorem 3.1. □

Proposition 3.4. Let H be an undirected graph, such that each connected component of H is complete. Then there exists a polynomial time algorithm that decides whether a graph G can be uniformly emulated on H.

Proof: The problem becomes the question whether we can allocate the connected components of G to the connected components of H, such that the numbers of nodes that are allocated to components of H are proportional to its size. By exhaustive search over all allocations this is solvable in polynomial time. (We use that a graph can be separated in its connected components in linear time.) □

For directed graphs a result similar to theorem 3.1. can be proved.

Theorem 3.5. Let $H=(V_H,E_H)$ be a strongly connected directed graph, that is not complete. The following problem is NP-complete:

Instance: Strongly connected directed graph $G=(V_G,E_G)$
Question: Is there a uniform emulation of G on H?

The proof is similar to the undirected case, and uses lemma 2.4.

References

[1] Berman, F., Parallel processing with limited resources, Proc. of the Conf. on Inform. Sciences and Systems, pp. 675-679, The Johns Hopkins University, 1983.

[2] Bodlaender, H.L. and J. van Leeuwen, Simulation of large networks

on smaller networks, Tech. Rep. RUU-CS-84-4, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, 1984. (Extended abstract in: K. Mehlhorn (ed.), Proc. of the 2nd Ann. Symp. on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 85), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 182, pp. 47-58, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1985.)

[3] Bodlaender, H.L. and J. van Leeuwen, On the complexity of finding uniform emulations, Tech. Rep. RUU-CS-85-4, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, 1985.

[4] Bodlaender, H.L., The complexity of finding uniform emulations on paths and ring networks, Tech. Rep. RUU-CS-85-5, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, 1985.

[5] Bodlaender, H.L., On approximation algorithms for determining minimum cost emulations, Tech. Rep. RUU-CS-85-11, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, 1985.

[6] Fishburn, J.P. and R.A. Finkel, Quotients networks, IEEE Trans. Comput. C-31(1982) 288-295.

[7] Garey, M.R. and D.S. Johnson, Computers and intractability: a guide to the theory of NP-completeness, W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, Calif., 1979.