

A Note on Semi-Adjunctions

R. Hoofman

RUU-CS-90-41
December 1990



Utrecht University

Department of Computer Science

Padualaan 14, P.O. Box 80.089,

3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands,

Tel. : ... + 31 - 30 - 531454

ISSN: 0924-3275

A Note on Semi-Adjunctions

R. Hoofman

Department of Computer Science, Utrecht University
P.O. Box 80.089, 3508 TB Utrecht, the Netherlands

December 17, 1990

Abstract

We consider two methods to generalise categorical notions involving functors to *semi*-functors. It turns out that the two methods give in general the same results. In particular, the notion of *semi-adjunction* is studied. Semi-adjunctions in our sense prove to be exactly the *normal* semi-adjunctions of Hayashi [2].

1 Introduction

In [2] the notion of *semi*-functor is introduced. A *semi*-functor is a functor except that it need not preserve identities. Various categorical notions involving functors can be generalised to semi-functors. For example, [2] defines the notion of a *semi*-adjunction.

In this paper we consider two methods to generalise in a systematic way categorical notions. The first method uses the *Karoubi envelope* construction to translate ordinary notions to semi-notions. The Karoubi envelope construction is a canonical way to transform semi-functors into functors. The second method uses the fact that the category Cat_s of categories and semi-functors is a 2-category. Various categorical notions involving functors and natural transformations can be generalised to arbitrary 2-categories, and in particular to Cat_s .

It turns out that, in general, the two methods give the same results. In particular, the two methods give the same kind of generalisation of the notion of adjunction. Semi-adjunctions in our sense correspond to the *normal* semi-adjunctions of [2].

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In section 2 the notion of semi-functor and the Karoubi envelope construction are reviewed. In section 3 semi-adjunctions are defined by means of the first method. In section 4 the relation between our semi-adjunctions and the semi-adjunctions of [2] is studied. In section 5 semi natural transformations are introduced, and in section 6 we show that they give Cat_s the structure of a 2-category. Finally, in section 7 semi-adjunctions are defined by means

of the second method, and we show that they coincide with the semi-adjunctions of the third section.

2 Semi-functors

Let C, D be categories. A *semi-functor* $F : C \rightarrow D$ is defined just as a functor, except that it need not preserve identities [2].

Example 1 Let Set be the category of sets and functions. The semi-functor $F : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is defined on objects by $F(A) = A \times A$ and on functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ by $F(f)(\langle a, a' \rangle) = \langle f(a), f(a') \rangle$. If $a, a' \in A$ and $a \neq a'$, then $F(\text{id})(\langle a, a' \rangle) = \langle a, a' \rangle \neq \langle a, a \rangle$.

Let Cat be the category of categories and functors, and let Cat_s be the category of categories and semi-functors. Because each functor is also a semi-functor, there is an inclusion $I : \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_s$. The *Karoubi envelope* construction provides a functor in the other direction.

Definition 2 The Karoubi envelope $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cat}_s \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is the functor defined by

objects If C is a category, then $\mathcal{K}(C)$ is the category with as objects the idempotent arrows $f \in C(A, A)$ (i.e. $f \circ f = f$). An arrow $\phi \in \mathcal{K}(C)(f : A \rightarrow A, g : B \rightarrow B)$ is an arrow $\phi \in C(A, B)$ such that $g \circ \phi \circ f = \phi$. Composition is as in C , and $\text{id}_f = f$.

arrows If $F : C \rightarrow D$ is a semi-functor, then $\mathcal{K}(F) : \mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D)$ is the functor defined on objects f by $\mathcal{K}(F)(f) = F(f)$, and on arrows ϕ by $\mathcal{K}(F)(\phi) = F(\phi)$.

The Karoubi envelope $\mathcal{K}(F)$ of a semi-functor F preserves identities because

$$\mathcal{K}(F)(\text{id}_f) = F(f) = \text{id}_{F(f)}$$

In fact, the Karoubi envelope construction is a *canonical* way to transform semi-functors into functors.

Theorem 3 [1] $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cat}_s \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ is a right-adjoint of $I : \text{Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_s$.

Proof: We have to show that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Cat}_s(C, D) \cong \text{Cat}(C, \mathcal{K}(D))$$

Given a semi-functor $F : C \rightarrow D$ define a functor $F' : C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D)$ by $F'(A) = F(\text{id}_A)$ on objects A , and $F'(f) = F(f)$ on arrows f . The other way round, if $G : C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D)$ is a functor, then the semi-functor $G' : C \rightarrow D$ is defined by $G'(A) = \text{Dom}_{G(A)}$ and $G'(f) = G(f)$.

In this way we get a natural isomorphism between the Hom-sets. ■

It follows that Cat is a *coreflective* subcategory of Cat_s .

Example 4 The counit $S = S_C : \mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow C$ of the adjunction $I \dashv \mathcal{K}$ provides a nice example of a semi-functor. It is defined on objects $f : A \rightarrow A$ by $S(f) = A$ and on arrows ϕ by $S(\phi) = \phi$.

3 Semi-adjunctions

We would like to generalise categorical definitions involving functors to *semi-functors*. One way to do this is by transferring notions from Cat to Cat_s by means of the Karoubi envelope.

For example, how should the notion of *adjunction between semi-functors* be defined? This might be done by saying that a pair of semi-functors F, G form a *semi-adjunction* iff $\mathcal{K}(F), \mathcal{K}(G)$ form an adjunction. We shall give a more simple definition, and show that it coincides with the one above.

First, let $F : C \rightarrow D$ be a semi-functor. There is a natural transformation $F(id) : F \rightarrow F$ with components $F(id_A) : FA \rightarrow FA$. We write $D(FA, B)_s$ for the set of arrows $f \in D(FA, B)$ which satisfy

$$f \circ F(id_A) = f$$

Note that $D(FA, B)_s = \mathcal{K}(D)(F(id_A), id_B)$. If F happens to be a functor, then $D(FA, B)_s = D(FA, B)$. Analogously, the set $D(B, FA)_s$ is defined.

Definition 5 A semi-adjunction is a tuple $\langle F : C \rightarrow D, G : D \rightarrow C, \mu \rangle$, where F, G are semi-functors and μ is a natural isomorphism

$$\mu_{A,B} : D(F(A), B)_s \cong C(A, G(B))_s$$

Notation: we write $F \dashv_s G$ iff F, G are part of one semi-adjunction as defined.

If F, G are functors, then $F \dashv_s G \Leftrightarrow F \dashv G$.

Example 6 Let $R = R_C : C \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(C)$ and $S = S_C : \mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow C$ be unit and counit of the adjunction $I \dashv \mathcal{K}$. Then $S \dashv_s R$ and $R \dashv_s S$, because

$$C(S(f), B)_s = \mathcal{K}(C)(f, R(B))$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(C)(R(A), g) = C(A, S(g))_s$$

There is a semi-adjunction between two semi-functors iff their Karoubi envelope form an adjunction.

Theorem 7 $F \dashv_s G$ iff $\mathcal{K}(F) \dashv \mathcal{K}(G)$.

Proof:

- (\Leftarrow): Suppose $\mathcal{K}(F) \dashv \mathcal{K}(G)$, then

$$\mathcal{K}(D)(\mathcal{K}(F)(f), g) \cong \mathcal{K}(C)(f, \mathcal{K}(G)(g))$$

Hence in particular

$$\mathcal{K}(D)(F(id_A), id_B) \cong \mathcal{K}(C)(id_A, G(id_B))$$

Because $\mathcal{K}(D)(F(id_A), id_B) = D(F(A), B)_s$ and $\mathcal{K}(C)(id_A, G(id_B)) = C(A, G(B))_s$, it follows that

$$D(F(A), B)_s \cong C(A, G(B))_s$$

It is easy to see that this isomorphism is natural in A, B .

- (\Rightarrow): Suppose $F \dashv_s G$, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mu_{A,B} : D(F(A), B)_s \cong C(A, G(B))_s$$

We have to define a natural isomorphism

$$\nu_{f,g} : \mathcal{K}(C)(\mathcal{K}(F)(f), g) \cong \mathcal{K}(C)(f, \mathcal{K}(G)(g))$$

for idempotents $f : A \rightarrow A, g : B \rightarrow B$. We show that we can take $\nu_{f,g} = \mu_{A,B}$. First $\nu_{f,g}(\phi)$ is defined for $\phi : F(f) \rightarrow g$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ F(id) &= g \circ \phi \circ F(f) \circ F(id) \\ &= g \circ \phi \circ F(f) \\ &= \phi \end{aligned}$$

Second $\nu_{f,g}(\phi)$ is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} G(g) \circ \nu_{f,g}(\phi) \circ f &= G(g) \circ \mu_{A,B}(\phi) \circ f \\ &= \mu_{A,B}(g \circ \phi \circ F(f)) \\ &= \mu_{A,B}(\phi) \\ &= \nu_{f,g}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\nu_{f,g}$ is a natural isomorphism. ■

4 Hayashi semi-adjunctions

In [2] Hayashi gave a definition of semi-adjunction.

Definition 8 A Hayashi semi-adjunction is a tuple $\langle F : C \rightarrow D, G : D \rightarrow C, \alpha, \beta \rangle$, where F, G are semi-functors and α, β are collections of arrows $\{\alpha_{A,B}, \beta_{A,B}\}_{A \in C, B \in D}$ such that the four squares in the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 B & D(FA, B) & \xrightleftharpoons[\beta_{A,B}]{\alpha_{A,B}} & C(A, GB) & A \\
 \downarrow f & \downarrow f \circ _ \circ Fg & & \downarrow Gf \circ _ \circ g & \uparrow g \\
 B' & D(FA', B') & \xrightleftharpoons[\beta_{A',B'}]{\alpha_{A',B'}} & C(A', GB') & A'
 \end{array}$$

Notation: we write $F \dashv_{H_s} G$ iff F, G are part of a Hayashi semi-adjunction.

We shall give another characterisation of Hayashi semi-adjunctions, which resembles more closely our definition of semi-adjunction.

Theorem 9 The tuple $\langle F, G, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is a Hayashi semi-adjunction iff α and β are families of functions

$$D(FA, B) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta_{A,B}]{\alpha_{A,B}} C(A, GB)$$

natural in A, B , which reduce to an isomorphism

$$D(FA, B)_s \cong C(A, GB)_s$$

Proof:

- (\Rightarrow): Suppose $\langle F, G, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is a Hayashi semi-adjunction. It follows that α, β are natural. We show that they reduce to an isomorphism on the restricted Hom-sets. Take $h \in D(F(A), B)_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta\alpha(h) &= \beta\alpha(h \circ F(id)) \\
 &= \beta(G(id) \circ \alpha(h)) \\
 &= h \circ F(id) \\
 &= h
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, $\alpha\beta(h) = h$ for $h \in C(A, G(B))_s$.

- (\Leftarrow): Suppose α, β are natural, then two of the four squares in the diagram above commute. To see that the other two squares commute, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta(G(g) \circ \alpha(h) \circ f) &= \beta\alpha(g \circ h \circ F(f)) \\
 &= g \circ h \circ F(f).
 \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, the other square commutes. ■

Now the relation between semi-adjunctions and Hayashi semi-adjunctions can be stated.

Theorem 10 $F \dashv_{H_s} G$ iff $F \dashv_s G$.

Proof:

- (\Rightarrow): Trivial.
- (\Leftarrow): Suppose $\langle F, G, \mu \rangle$ is a semi-adjunction. Define $\alpha(h) = \mu(h \circ F(id))$ and $\beta(h) = \mu^{-1}(G(id) \circ h)$, then α, β are natural. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(g \circ h \circ F(f)) &= \mu(g \circ h \circ F(f) \circ F(id)) \\
 &= \mu(g \circ h \circ F(id) \circ F(f)) \\
 &= G(g) \circ \mu(h \circ F(id)) \circ f \\
 &= G(g) \circ \alpha(h) \circ f
 \end{aligned}$$

■

However, it looks like we give slightly *too much* information in a Hayashi semi-adjunction: we also define $\alpha(h)$ for $h \notin D(F(A), B)_s$. Given a Hayashi semi-adjunction $\langle F, G, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ we may restrict α, β to get a semi-adjunction $\langle F, G, \mu \rangle$. Extending μ as in the proof of theorem 10 gives us α', β' again. However, because in general $\alpha(h \circ F(id)) = \alpha(h)$ does not hold, it is not true that $\alpha = \alpha'$. In the same way we do not have $\beta = \beta'$ in general.

Definition 11 [2] A Hayashi semi-adjunction $\langle F, G, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is normal iff

- $G(id) \circ \alpha(h) = \alpha(h)$
- $\beta(h) \circ F(id) = \beta(h)$

Hence, a Hayashi semi-adjunction is normal iff the values of α, β are fully determined by their values on the restricted Hom-sets.

Theorem 12 If $\langle F, G, \alpha, \beta \rangle$ is a Hayashi semi-adjunction with F or G a functor, then it is normal.

Proof: Suppose F is a functor, then $G(id) \circ \alpha(h) = \alpha(h \circ F(id)) = \alpha(h)$ and $\beta(h) \circ F(id) = \beta(h)$. Analogously if G is a functor. ■

Theorem 13 *The class of semi-adjunctions is isomorphic to the class of normal Hayashi semi-adjunctions.*

Proof: Trivial. ■

5 Semi natural transformations

We would like to transform the *class* of semi-functors between two categories D, E into a *category* $D \Rightarrow E$. As a first attempt, we define $D \Rightarrow E$ as the category with as objects semi-functors $F : D \rightarrow E$ and as arrows natural transformations $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$. The composition $\beta \cdot \alpha : F \rightarrow G$ of natural transformations $\alpha, \beta : F \rightarrow G$ is defined by $(\beta \cdot \alpha)_D = \beta_D \circ \alpha_D$ for $D \in D$, and the identity natural transformation $1_F : F \rightarrow F$ has components $(1_F)_D = id_{F(D)}$ for $D \in D$.

Theorem 14 *Cat_s with $(-) \Rightarrow (-)$ is a weak Cartesian closed category¹.*

Proof: Finite products in Cat_s are the same as in Cat . The evaluation semi-functor $E : (D \Rightarrow E) \times D \rightarrow E$ is defined on objects $\langle H, D \rangle$ by $E(\langle H, D \rangle) = H(D)$, and on arrows $\langle \alpha, f \rangle : \langle H, D \rangle \rightarrow \langle H', D' \rangle$ by $E(\langle \alpha, f \rangle) = \alpha_{D'} \circ H(f)$. If $F : C \times D \rightarrow E$ is a semi-functor, then $F^* : C \rightarrow (D \Rightarrow E)$ is the semi-functor defined as follows: on objects $F^*(C) = F(C, -) : D \rightarrow E$ and on arrows $F^*(f : C \rightarrow C')$ is the natural transformation with components $F^*(f)_D = F(f, id_D)$. ■

The category Cat_s with $(-) \Rightarrow (-)$ is only *weak* Cartesian closed, because for semi-functors $F : C \rightarrow (D \Rightarrow E)$ the identity $(E(F \times Id))^* = F$ does not hold. More specific, if $f : C \rightarrow C'$ is an arrow in C , then $(E(F \times Id))^*(f)$ is the natural transformation with components $(E(F \times Id))^*(f)_D = F(f)_D \circ F(C)(id_D)$. In general, this is not equal to the natural transformation $F(f)$, which has components $F(f)_D$. The equality does hold, however, if $F(f)$ is a *semi natural transformation*.

Definition 15 *A semi natural transformation is a natural transformation $\alpha : F \rightarrow G : D \rightarrow E$ which satisfies*

$$\alpha_D \circ F(id_D) = \alpha_D$$

for every $D \in D$.

Note that in general a semi-functor is “less” than a functor, whereas a semi natural transformation is “more” than a natural transformation.

Theorem 16 *If $\alpha : F \rightarrow G : D \rightarrow E$ is a natural transformation, and F or G is a functor, then α is a semi natural transformation.*

¹A *weak* Cartesian closed category is defined as a Cartesian closed category, except that the exponential type constructor \Rightarrow need only be a *semi-functor*.

Proof: If G is a functor, then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_D \circ F(id_D) &= G(id_D) \circ \alpha_D \\ &= id_{G(D)} \circ \alpha_D \\ &= \alpha_D\end{aligned}$$

■

Let $D \Rightarrow_s E$ be the subcategory of $D \Rightarrow E$ with the same objects, but with *semi* natural transformations as arrows. The composition in $D \Rightarrow_s E$ is the same as in $D \Rightarrow E$, but the identity $1_F : F \rightarrow F$ has components $(1_F)_D = F(id_D)$.

Theorem 17 Cat_s with $(-) \Rightarrow_s (-)$ is a Cartesian closed category.

Proof: The evaluation semi-functor E and $(-)^*$ are defined as in the proof of theorem 14. ■

6 Cat_s as 2-category

In this section we show that Cat_s is a 2-category, and that \mathcal{K} is a 2-functor. Intuitively, a 2-category \mathcal{C} is a category \mathcal{C} in which each Hom-set $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is a category again.

Definition 18 [3] A 2-category \mathcal{C} has objects or 0-cells A , arrows or 1-cells $f : A \rightarrow B$, and 2-cells $\alpha : f \rightarrow g : A \rightarrow B$ such that

- The objects and arrows form a category \mathcal{C}_0 with identities $id_A : A \rightarrow A$ and composition gf .
- For fixed A, B the arrows $A \rightarrow B$ and the 2-cells between them form a category $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ with identities $1_f : f \rightarrow f : A \rightarrow B$ and composition $\beta \cdot \alpha$.
- The 2-cells form a category: if $\alpha : f \rightarrow g : A \rightarrow B$ and $\beta : u \rightarrow v : B \rightarrow C$ are 2-cells, then there is a 2-cell $\beta * \alpha : uf \rightarrow vg : A \rightarrow C$. The identity of these composition is the 2-cell $1_{id_A} : id_A \rightarrow id_A : A \rightarrow A$.
- In the situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & f & \longrightarrow & u & \\ A & \xrightarrow{a} & \Downarrow \alpha & \xrightarrow{v} & B & \xrightarrow{w} & \Downarrow \gamma & \longrightarrow & C \\ & h & \Downarrow \beta & \xrightarrow{w} & & \Downarrow \delta & \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

we have $(\delta * \beta) \cdot (\gamma * \alpha) = (\delta \cdot \gamma) * (\beta \cdot \alpha)$. Furthermore, if $f : A \rightarrow B, g : B \rightarrow C$ are 1-cells, then $1_u * 1_f = 1_{uf}$.

The paradigmatic example of a 2-category is Cat , which has categories as objects, functors as arrows, and natural transformations as 2-cells. Note that if $\alpha : F \rightarrow G : C \rightarrow D$ and $\beta : U \rightarrow V : D \rightarrow E$ are natural transformations, then the natural transformation $\beta * \alpha : UF \rightarrow VG : C \rightarrow E$ has components $(\beta * \alpha)_C = \beta_{GC} \circ U(\alpha_C)$ for $C \in C$.

Theorem 19 *Cat_s , with categories as objects, semi-functors as arrows, and semi natural transformations as 2-cells is a 2-category.*

Proof: The composition $*$ of semi natural transformations is defined as in Cat . We check that $1_U * 1_F = 1_{UF}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (1_U * 1_F)_C &= (1_U)_{F(C)} \circ U((1_F)_C) \\ &= U(\text{id}_{F(C)}) \circ UF(\text{id}_C) \\ &= UF(\text{id}_C) \\ &= (1_{UF})_C \end{aligned}$$

It is left to the reader to check the remaining details. ■

A 2-functor $F : C \rightarrow D$ between 2-categories C, D sends i -cells in C to i -cells in D for $i = 0, 1, 2$, preserving domains and codomains and all types of composition and identities.

Theorem 20 $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cat}_s \rightarrow \text{Cat}_s$ can be extended to a 2-functor.

Proof: We define \mathcal{K} on semi natural transformations. Suppose $\alpha : F \rightarrow G : C \rightarrow D$ is a semi natural transformation, then $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) : \mathcal{K}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(G) : \mathcal{K}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D)$ is the natural transformation with components $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)_f = \alpha_A \circ F(f)$ for $f : A \rightarrow A$ an idempotent. \mathcal{K} is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned} G(f) \circ \mathcal{K}(\alpha)_f \circ F(f) &= G(f) \circ \alpha_A \circ F(f) \circ F(f) \\ &= \alpha_A \circ F(f) \\ &= \mathcal{K}(\alpha)_f \end{aligned}$$

and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ is natural.

\mathcal{K} preserves 1_F :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(1_F)_f &= (1_F)_A \circ F(f) \\ &= F(\text{id}_A) \circ F(f) \\ &= F(f) \\ &= (1_F)_f \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{K} preserves \cdot -composition: Suppose $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ and $\beta : G \rightarrow H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(\beta \cdot \alpha)_f &= (\beta \cdot \alpha)_A \circ F(f) \\ &= \beta_A \circ \alpha_A \circ F(f) \circ F(f) \\ &= \beta_A \circ G(f) \circ \alpha_A \circ F(f) \\ &= \mathcal{K}(\beta)_f \circ \mathcal{K}(\alpha)_f \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{K} preserves $*$ -composition: Suppose $\alpha : F \rightarrow G : C \rightarrow D$ and $\beta : U \rightarrow V : D \rightarrow E$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(\beta * \alpha)_f &= (\beta * \alpha)_A \circ UF(f) \\
&= \beta_{G(A)} \circ U(\alpha_A) \circ UF(f) \\
&= \beta_{G(A)} \circ U(\alpha_A \circ F(f)) \\
&= \beta_{G(A)} \circ U(G(f) \circ \alpha_A) \\
&= \beta_{G(A)} \circ U(G(f)) \circ U(\alpha_A) \\
&= \mathcal{K}(\beta)_{\mathcal{K}(G)(f)} \circ \mathcal{K}(U)(\mathcal{K}(\alpha)_f) \\
&= (\mathcal{K}(\beta) * \mathcal{K}(\alpha))_f
\end{aligned}$$

■

7 2-Adjunctions in Cat_s

In section 2 we have seen that we can generalise categorical notions to *semi*-notions by means of the Karoubi envelope construction. Intuitively, we say that N is a semi-notion iff $\mathcal{K}(N)$ is the corresponding ordinary notion.

The fact that Cat_s is a 2-category gives us yet another way to define semi-notions. Various categorical definitions involving functors and natural transformations can be generalised to arbitrary 2-categories. In particular, we might consider the 2-category Cat_s . As an example, we shall consider adjunctions in 2-categories.

Definition 21 [3] *A 2-adjunction $\eta, \epsilon : f \dashv g : A \rightarrow B$ in a 2-category C consists of arrows $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow A$ together with 2-cells $\eta : id_A \rightarrow gf$ and $\epsilon : fg \rightarrow id_B$ such that*

1. $(1_g * \epsilon) \cdot (\eta * 1_g) = 1_g$
2. $(\epsilon * 1_f) \cdot (1_f * \eta) = 1_f$

Note that a 2-adjunction in Cat is the same as an ordinary adjunction between functors. We can also consider 2-adjunctions in Cat_s .

Definition 22 *A 2-adjunction $\eta, \epsilon : F \dashv G : C \rightarrow D$ in Cat_s consists of semi-functors $F : C \rightarrow D$ and $G : D \rightarrow C$ together with natural transformations $\eta : Id_C \rightarrow GF$ and $\epsilon : FG \rightarrow Id_D$ such that*

1. $G\epsilon \cdot \eta G = Gid$
2. $\epsilon F \cdot F\eta = Fid$

We show that, in general, 2-notions in Cat_s coincide with semi-notions defined by means of the Karoubi envelope construction.

First we need a lemma.

Lemma 23 \mathcal{K} reflects equalities, i.e.

1. $\mathcal{K}(C) = \mathcal{K}(D)$ implies $C = D$
2. $\mathcal{K}(F) = \mathcal{K}(G)$ implies $F = G$
3. $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}(\beta)$ implies $\alpha = \beta$

Proof: Point 1,2 are trivial. Suppose $\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}(\beta)$, then $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)_f = \mathcal{K}(\beta)_f$ for all idempotent f . In particular $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)_{id_A} = \mathcal{K}(\beta)_{id_A}$ for all A , hence $\alpha_A \circ F(id_A) = \beta_A \circ F(id_A)$ for all A . Because α, β are semi natural transformations, it follows that $\alpha_A = \beta_A$ for all A , and hence that $\alpha = \beta$. ■

In general, a *notion* N consists of some *abstract data*, and some requirements on this data. In the following we shall only consider notions in which these requirements are equations. We shall write $N(d)$ iff an instance d of the abstract data associated with N satisfies the requirements of N . If N is a 2-categorical notion, then $N[C]$ denotes N in the 2-category C .

Theorem 24 Suppose N is a notion in Cat . Let M be a 2-categorical generalisation of N (i.e. $M[\text{Cat}] = N$). Let the notion S in Cat_s be defined by: $S(d)$ iff $N(\mathcal{K}(d))$. Then

$$M[\text{Cat}_s] = S$$

Proof:

- $M[\text{Cat}_s](d)$ implies $S(d)$.
Because 2-functors preserve equalities, it follows from $M[\text{Cat}_s](d)$ that $M[\text{Cat}](\mathcal{K}(d))$, and hence $N(\mathcal{K}(d))$. By definition of S this implies $S(d)$.
- $S(d)$ implies $M[\text{Cat}_s](d)$.
By definition it follows from $S(d)$ that $N(\mathcal{K}(d))$, and hence $M[\text{Cat}](\mathcal{K}(d))$. Because \mathcal{K} reflects equalities (lemma 23), this implies $M[\text{Cat}_s](d)$. ■

Corollary 25 Semi-adjunctions are the same as 2-adjunctions in Cat_s .

Acknowledgement

Bart Jacobs had the initial idea to consider 2-categorical properties of the category of categories and semi-functors. I would also like to thank Jan van Leeuwen for reading draft versions of this paper.

References

- [1] Freyd, P.A., Scedrov, A., *Categories, Allegories*, North-Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam, 1989.
- [2] Hayashi, S., *Adjunction of semifunctors: categorical structures in non-extensional lambda-calculus*, Theor. Comput. Sci. 41 (1985), 95-104.
- [3] Kelly, G.M., Street, R., *Review of the Elements of 2-Categories*, in: Category Seminar, Lect. Notes in Mathematics, vol. 420, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974, pp. 75-103.
- [4] MacLane, S., *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1971.