

Finding a Δ -regular Supergraph of Minimum Order

Hans L. Bodlaender^a, Richard B. Tan^{a,b} and Jan van Leeuwen^a

^a *Department of Computer Science
Utrecht University
Padualaan 14, 3584 CH Utrecht
The Netherlands
{hansb,rbtan,jan}@cs.uu.nl*

^b *Department of Computer Science
University of Sciences & Arts of Oklahoma
Chickasha, OK 73018
U.S.A.*

Abstract

Akiyama, Era and Harary [1] proved that every graph of maximum degree Δ is a subgraph of a Δ -regular graph that has at most $\Delta + 2$ additional vertices. We show that, given a graph of maximum degree Δ , a Δ -regular supergraph of it of minimum order can be computed in $O(\min\{\Delta^{1.5}|V|^{2.5}, \Delta^6 + \Delta|V|\})$ time.

1 Introduction

Various algorithmic problems in graph theory can be reduced to the case of regular graphs. It is thus of interest to know whether arbitrary, non-regular graphs can be extended to regular graphs with little computational effort, e.g. by adding only a small number of vertices.

König [6] already showed that every graph G of maximum degree Δ is the *induced* subgraph of some Δ -regular graph. Erdős and Kelly [3] obtained a formula for the minimum number of vertices that have to be added to G to obtain such a Δ -regular supergraph. In this note we consider the variant where we do *not* require that G is an induced subgraph.

Akiyama *et al.* [1] showed the following result for the maximum number of vertices that must be added to G to obtain a Δ -regular supergraph of it, now

allowing that edges are added between the original vertices of G .

Theorem 1 ([1]) *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of maximum degree Δ . If Δ is odd (even), then G is a subgraph of a Δ -regular graph $H = (V', E')$ with $|V' - V| \leq \Delta + 2$ (respectively $|V' - V| \leq \Delta + 1$).*

Akiyama *et al.* also showed that the result is sharp: for some graphs G the $\Delta + 2$ (respectively $\Delta + 1$) additional vertices are necessary.

In this note we are interested in the problem of determining the *minimum* number of vertices that must be added to a graph G of maximum degree Δ in order to make it Δ -regular (i.e. to obtain a Δ -regular graph H of which it is a subgraph). In Section 3 we show that this problem is tractable, by giving an algorithm for solving this problem that uses $O(\Delta^{1.5}|V|^{2.5})$ time. If Δ is small, a better running time of $O(\Delta^6 + \Delta|V|)$ can be obtained.

In Section 4 we show that a Δ -regular supergraph H of G that is not necessarily of minimum order but satisfies the bounds of Theorem 1 can be computed in $O(\Delta|V|)$ time. The result is based on an algorithmic proof of Theorem 1.

2 Preliminaries

All graphs considered in this paper are assumed to be simple, i.e., there are no parallel edges or self-loops. The degree of a vertex v in a graph G is denoted by $d_G(v)$. If G is clear from the context, we drop the subscript G . The order of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is $|V|$. The complement of a graph G is denoted by \overline{G} .

A graph $G = (V, E)$ is Δ -regular if every vertex $v \in V$ has degree Δ in G . A graph $G = (V, E)$ is Δ -regularizable if G is a subgraph of a Δ -regular graph $H = (V, E')$ with the same vertex set.

Let $f : V \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be a function, assigning to each vertex a non-negative integer. An f -factor of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $F \subseteq E$ of the edges such that every vertex $v \in V$ is incident to exactly $f(v)$ edges from F . f -factors are also known in the literature as perfect b -matchings [2,5].

The following two observations are obvious but useful.

Lemma 2 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree Δ . Define f by $f(v) = \Delta - d(v)$, for all $v \in V$. Then G is Δ -regularizable if and only if \overline{G} has an f -factor.*

Lemma 3 *Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is Δ -regularizable. Then $|V|$ is even or Δ is even.*

We use the following completion operation on graphs G with maximum degree Δ : as long as there are non-adjacent nodes of degree less than Δ , take two such nodes v and w , add $\{v, w\}$ to the set of edges and repeat. Any supergraph of G that can be obtained this way is called a *degree completion* of G .

Degree completions are not necessarily fully Δ -regular, even if the graph G is Δ -regularizable.

Lemma 4 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree Δ .*

- (i) If $H = (V, E')$ is a degree completion of G , then $\sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d_H(v)) \leq \Delta^2$.*
- (ii) A degree completion of G can be computed in $O(\Delta|V|)$ time.*

PROOF.

(i) Let H be a degree completion of G . Note that the vertices with degree at most $\Delta - 1$ in H form a clique of size at most Δ . This implies the bound on $\sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d_H(v))$.

(ii) Assume that G is given in a normal adjacency list representation. In $O(\Delta|V|)$ time one can pass through the nodes of G , compute their degrees, and link the nodes of degree less than Δ in a doubly linked list L . As long as L is non-empty, repeat the following step. Pick the leading node v , delete it from L , go through its adjacency list and mark all the nodes that appear on it as its neighbors, and do the following by going through the consecutive nodes w of L one after the other *until the degree of v has become Δ or the end of the list is reached*:

- (1) if w is marked, then skip (v and w are already connected).
- (2) if w is not marked, then add $\{v, w\}$ to the set of edges:
 - (a) add w to the adjacency list of v ,
 - (b) add v to the adjacency list of w ,
 - (c) increase the degree counters of v and w by 1, and
 - (d) if the degree of w has become Δ , then delete it from L .

When this is done, undo the marking of the original neighbors of v and repeat unless the stop criterion is satisfied. As L gets shorter by at least one node in every step, the algorithm terminates in finitely many steps. The resulting graph H clearly is a degree completion of G , in adjacency list representation.

Note that after picking node v from the head of the list, the algorithm takes at most $O(\Delta)$ time to handle it: it can run into marked nodes w at most Δ times, and each time a non-marked node w is encountered the degree of v increases by 1 and thus this can happen at most Δ times as well. Undoing the marking to prepare for a next step takes another $O(\Delta)$ time. It follows that the running time of the algorithm is bounded by $O(\Delta|V|)$. \square

3 Determining a Δ -regular supergraph of minimum order

In this section we show how to compute the minimum number of vertices that must be added to an input graph G to make it Δ -regular. We give two algorithms for the problem, both running in time polynomial in Δ and $|V|$.

We look for the smallest number of vertices that must be added to G so the resulting graph is Δ -regularizable. By Lemma 2, it follows that the following procedure computes the desired information. It has a graph $G = (V, E)$ of maximum degree Δ as input, and it outputs a Δ -regular graph H of minimum order that contains G as a subgraph.

Algorithm **A**:

- (1) **while** \overline{G} has no f -factor, with f the function defined by $f(v) = \Delta - d_G(v)$ for all $v \in V$
do
 - (a) Add a new isolated vertex to G .
- (2) Let F be the set of edges in a f -factor of \overline{G} .
- (3) Output $H = (V, E \cup F)$.

The algorithm immediately leads to the following result.

Theorem 5 *The problem of determining a Δ -regular supergraph $H = (V', E')$ of minimum order of a given graph $G = (V, E)$ of maximum degree Δ can be solved in $O(\Delta^{1.5}|V|^{2.5})$ time.*

PROOF.

Gabow [4] has shown that the problem of determining whether a graph G contains a f -factor, and computing one if it exists, can be solved in

$$O(\sqrt{\sum_{v \in V} f(v)} \cdot |E|)$$

time. Using this test in step (1) of the algorithm, Theorem 1 shows that it is applied to graphs that have up to $\Delta + 2 = O(|V|)$ more vertices than the input graph G . Thus the loop in Algorithm **A** can be implemented to run in $O(\sqrt{\Delta|V|}|V|^2)$ time per iteration. As the number of iterations of the loop is at most $\Delta + 2$ (cf. Theorem 1), the time complexity of Algorithm **A** is bounded by $O(\Delta^{1.5}|V|^{2.5})$. \square

Algorithm **A** needs $O(\Delta)$ runs of an f -factor algorithm, hence its time complexity is a factor of $O(\Delta)$ larger than that of the best f -factoring algorithm.

However, one can note that the graphs in the separate calls to the f -factor algorithm have great similarity, so it seems likely that an incremental construction could lower the runtime somewhat further.

When Δ is small, a better running time can be obtained. We need the following lemma that is of interest in its own right.

Lemma 6 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree Δ . Suppose $\sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d(v)) \geq 5\Delta^2$. Then G is Δ -regularizable if and only if $|V|$ is even or Δ is even.*

PROOF.

The ‘only if’ part follows from Lemma 3. To show the ‘if’ part, suppose that $|V|$ is even or Δ is even.

Let W be the set of vertices in G with degree less than Δ . Now build a Δ -regular supergraph $H = (V, E')$ of G as follows. As an invariant we maintain that (V, E') is a supergraph of G of maximum degree Δ . Start with $E' = E$.

First, we repeatedly add edges $\{v, w\}$ to E' , with v and w non-adjacent vertices of degree less than Δ . The resulting graph H is a degree completion of G and thus has $\sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d_H(v)) \leq \Delta^2$ (Lemma 4). Because $\sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d(v)) \geq 5\Delta^2$ at the start, it means that the total degree deficiency in G has decreased by at least $4\Delta^2$. Thus at least $2\Delta^2$ new edges were added in the completion process.

Now, as long as there are at least two (adjacent) vertices of degree at most $\Delta - 1$, repeatedly apply the following step. Take two vertices v and w of degree at most $\Delta - 1$. Note that necessarily $\{v, w\} \in E'$, and that $|E' - E| \geq 2\Delta^2$. The number of edges that have at least one endpoint equal to or adjacent to v or w is at most $2\Delta^2 - 1$. Hence, there is an edge $\{x, y\} \in E' - E$, with x and y not equal to or adjacent to v or w . Now, take such an edge $\{x, y\}$, and replace it by the edges $\{v, x\}, \{w, y\}$, i.e., change E' to $E' - \{\{x, y\}\} \cup \{\{v, x\}, \{w, y\}\}$. Note that, by the choice of x , the edges $\{v, x\}$ and $\{w, y\}$ did not belong to E' before the operation, hence we increased the size of E' by one. It means that this step can be repeated again. The invariant that G is a subgraph of $H = (V, E')$ is maintained throughout.

After this step, we may still have one vertex v of degree less than Δ left, but all other vertices have degree Δ in the current graph H . As $|V|$ is even or Δ is even, we must have that $d_H(v) \leq \Delta - 2$. By a similar argument as above, there must be an edge $\{x, y\} \in E' - E$ with x and y both not equal or adjacent to v , and we can replace $\{x, y\}$ by $\{v, x\}$ and $\{v, y\}$. Again the invariant is maintained. We can repeat this step until the degree of v , and hence of all vertices in V , finally equals Δ .

This proves that G is Δ -regularizable. \square

The bound in Lemma 6 might be improved with respect to the constant factor but not asymptotically: there are graphs with maximum degree Δ and $\sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d(v)) = \Theta(\Delta^2)$ that are not Δ -regularizable.

As an example, consider the graph G that is the disjoint union of a clique of $\Delta/2 + 1$ vertices, a clique of $\Delta + 1$ vertices, and $\Delta/4$ cliques of Δ vertices. To Δ -regularize G , one must add $(\Delta/2)(\Delta/2 + 1)$ edges with exactly one endpoint in the first clique. But there are only $\Delta^2/4$ vertices in G that can be the endpoint of any one such edge, and each one of them can be endpoint of at most one such edge. Thus G is not Δ -regularizable.

Theorem 7 *The problem of determining a Δ -regular supergraph $H = (V', E')$ of minimum order of a given graph $G = (V, E)$ of maximum degree Δ can be solved in $O(\Delta^6 + \Delta|V|)$ time.*

PROOF.

First compute $f(G) = \sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d(v))$.

If $f(G) < 5\Delta^2$, then compute the set W of vertices in G of degree less than Δ . For all $v \in W$, compute $f(v) = \Delta - d_G(v)$. Using these values of $f(v)$, we can ignore all vertices of degree Δ , and proceed as in Algorithm **A**: we call the f -factor algorithm $O(\Delta)$ times on a graph with $O(\Delta^2)$ vertices, and hence with $O(\Delta^4)$ edges, and with $\sum_{v \in W} f(v) = O(\Delta^2)$. Using the f -factoring algorithm of [4], this costs $O(\Delta^6)$ time.

If $f(G) \geq 5\Delta^2$, we know that G is Δ -regularizable. To find the corresponding supergraph, first add enough edges between non-adjacent vertices of degree less than Δ so as to obtain a supergraph H of G with $f(H)$ equal to $5\Delta^2 + 1$ or $5\Delta^2$. This can be done in a greedy manner as in the proof of Lemma 4 (ii), while keeping track of the decreasing value of $f(H) = \sum_{v \in V} (\Delta - d(v))$ at every step. Because a full degree completion brings the value of $f(H)$ under Δ^2 (and the addition of every edge decreases $f(H)$ by 2), this can certainly be done. As in Lemma 4 (ii) the graph H can be computed in $O(\Delta \cdot |V|)$ time.

Now we have a graph H with $f(H) = 5\Delta^2(+1)$. H still is Δ -regularizable, and has at most $5\Delta^2(+1)$ vertices of degree at most $\Delta - 1$. Running an f -factoring algorithm on the subgraph with the vertices in H of degree less than Δ gives the set of edges that can be added to make the graph Δ -regular: this costs $O(\Delta^5)$ time.

The total running time of the algorithm is thus bounded by $O(\Delta^6 + \Delta|V|)$. \square

4 An algorithmic proof of the Akiyama-Era-Harary theorem

In this section, we give an algorithmic proof of Theorem 1. In minor details the proof differs from the proof of Akiyama, Era, and Harary [1]. It shows that the construction can be carried out by an algorithm that runs in $O(\Delta \cdot |V|)$ time.

The algorithm consists of a number of steps. Suppose a graph $G = (V, E)$ of maximum degree Δ is given. In each step, we can add vertices and/or edges to the graph. The graph that develops is denoted by $H = (V', E')$, with $H = G$ at the start. We now describe the consecutive steps.

Step 1: Verify evenness

When Δ is odd and $|V|$ is odd, then add a new vertex of degree 0 to V' . This step ensures that $\Delta \cdot |V'|$ is even.

Step 2: Degree completion

While there are vertices $v, w \in V'$, with $d(v) < \Delta$, $d(w) < \Delta$, $v \neq w$, and $\{v, w\} \notin E'$, then add an edge $\{v, w\}$ to E' .

By Lemma 4 (ii) this step can be done in $O(\Delta \cdot |V|)$ time.

After this step, let W be the set of vertices of H that have degree less than Δ . If W is empty, then H is Δ -regular and we are done. If W is not empty, then by the argument in the proof of Lemma 4 (i) it follows that the nodes of W form a clique of size at most Δ in H .

Let $W = \{w_0, \dots, w_{|W|-1}\}$.

Step 3: Add $\Delta + 1$ new vertices to H and give the vertices in W degree Δ

In this step, we add a set of $\Delta + 1$ new vertices $N = \{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_\Delta\}$ to V' and we add as many edges between vertices w_i and vertices n_j as are needed to give all all vertices in W degree Δ and such that the vertices in N differ in degree by at most 1. This is easily implemented in $O(\Delta^2)$ time by ‘filling’ the nodes w_i one after the other and cyclically going through the vertices n_j to create the necessary edges.

After Step 3, all vertices in W will have degree Δ , and all vertices in N have ‘almost the same’ degree: there is an integer s , such that every vertex in N has either degree s or degree $s + 1$. One easily observes that $s + 1 \leq \Delta$. In addition, the vertices with degree $s + 1$ appear consecutively in the given order of N .

Step 4: Give vertices in N degree Δ

Note that we have so far not added any edge between vertices in N . This is done in this step in such a way that all vertices in N (and hence all vertices in H) get degree Δ .

We distinguish a few cases which are all handled slightly different.

Case 1: $\Delta - s$ is even and there are no vertices of degree $s + 1$

Let $\alpha = (\Delta - s)/2$. Now add edges $\{n_j, n_{(j+\beta) \bmod \Delta}\}$ to E' for all j , $0 \leq j \leq \Delta$, and all β , $1 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$. In words, viewing n_0, \dots, n_{Δ} arranged along a cycle, we add an edge between each pair of vertices of distance at most α on the cycle. This results in a Δ -regular graph.

Case 2: $\Delta - s$ is even and there are vertices of degree $s + 1$

Suppose w.l.o.g. that vertices n_0, \dots, n_{t-1} have degree $s + 1$. Now, t is even: all vertices, except those in N have degree Δ , and $|V' - V| \cdot \Delta$ is even; so the sum of all degrees of the vertices in N is even. If Δ is even, then s is even, hence t is even. If Δ is odd, then $(\Delta + 1) \cdot s$ is even, hence t is even.

Now, we use the same construction as in Case 1, except that we do not add the edges $\{n_{2\gamma}, n_{2\gamma+1}\}$ with $0 \leq \gamma < t/2$. This gives a Δ -regular graph.

Case 3: $\Delta - s$ is odd

Again suppose vertices n_0, \dots, n_{t-1} have degree $s + 1$. Let $\alpha = (\Delta - s + 1)/2$. Now, use the same construction as in Case 1, but do not add edges of the form $\{n_j, n_{(j+1) \bmod \Delta}\}$. This gives a graph in which every vertex has degree Δ , except the vertices n_0, \dots, n_{t-1} which have degree $\Delta - 1$. Again, case analysis shows that t must be even, and we can add the edges $\{n_{2\gamma}, n_{2\gamma+1}\}$, with $0 \leq \gamma < t/2$ to obtain a Δ -regular graph.

The construction described above results in a Δ -regular supergraph H of G and proves the following constructive variant of Theorem 1.

Theorem 8 *There is an algorithm that, given any graph $G = (V, E)$ with maximum degree at most Δ , determines a Δ -regular graph $H = (V', E')$ with $|V' - V| \leq \Delta + 1$ when Δ is even and $|V' - V| \leq \Delta + 2$ when Δ is odd, and that uses $O(\Delta|V|)$ time.*

Acknowledgement

We thank K.I. Aardal and T. Nishizeki for suggesting several useful references.

References

- [1] AKIYAMA, J., ERA, H., AND HARARY, F. Regular graphs containing a given graph. *Elem. Math.* 83 (1983), 15–17.
- [2] ANSTEE, R. P. An algorithmic proof of Tutte’s f -factor theorem. *J. Algorithms* 6 (1985), 112–131.
- [3] ERDÖS, P., AND KELLY, P. The minimal regular graph containing a given graph. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 70 (1963), 1074–1075.
- [4] GABOW, H. N. An efficient reduction technique for degree-constrained subgraph and bidirected network flow problems. In *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing* (1983), pp. 448–456.
- [5] GERARDS, A. M. H. Matching. In *Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Sciences, Volume 7, Network Models*, M. O. Ball et al., Ed. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1995, ch. 3, pp. 135–224.
- [6] KÖNIG, D. *Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen*. Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1936.